arXiv:1303.2606v1 [math.KT] 11 Mar 2013

K-THEORY FOR THE BANACH ALGEBRA OF BOUNDED OPERATORS ON THE BANACH SPACE $C[0,\omega_1]$

TOMASZ KANIA, PIOTR KOSZMIDER, AND NIELS JAKOB LAUSTSEN

Abstract. Denote by $[0,\omega_1]$ the compact Hausdorff space consisting of all ordinals not exceeding the first uncountable ordinal ω_1, equipped with the order topology. We show that the K-groups of the Banach algebra $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$ of bounded operators on the Banach space $C[0,\omega_1]$ of complex-valued, continuous functions on $[0,\omega_1]$ are given by

$$K_0(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))\cong\mathbb{Z}\qquad\text{and}\qquad K_1(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))=\{0\}.$$

1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to calculate the K-groups of the Banach algebra $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$ of bounded operators on the Banach space $C[0,\omega_1]$ of complex-valued, continuous functions on the compact Hausdorff space $[0,\omega_1]$ of ordinals less than or equal to the first uncountable ordinal ω_1, where $[0,\omega_1]$ carries the order topology. Indeed, we shall show that

$$K_0(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))\cong\mathbb{Z}\qquad\text{and}\qquad K_1(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))=\{0\},\tag{1.1}$$

with the K_0-group being generated by the K_0-class of the identity operator on $C[0,\omega_1]$. These two identities describe the K-theory of $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$ completely because, by Bott periodicity, the j^{th} K-group of $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$ for $j\in\{2,3,\ldots\}$ is isomorphic to $K_0(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))$ if j is even and to $K_1(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))$ if j is odd.

Equation (1.1) can be seen as the counterpart of the main conclusion of [9], which states that the K-groups of the Banach algebra $\mathscr{B}(J_p)$ of bounded operators on the p^{th} quasi-reflexive James space J_p for $1<p<\infty$ are given by the same formulas, that is, $K_0(\mathscr{B}(J_p))\cong\mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(\mathscr{B}(J_p))=\{0\}$, and our proof will follow a similar path to that taken in [9].

The Banach spaces J_p and $C[0,\omega_1]$ are known to share many other properties, including the following:

(i) J_2 and $C[0,\omega_1]$ were the joint first examples of an infinite-dimensional Banach space which is not isomorphic to its Cartesian square, as shown by Bessaga and Pełczyński [3] and Semadeni [15], respectively.

(ii) Edelstein and Mityagin [6] observed that the Banach algebras $\mathscr{B}(J_p)$ and $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$ both admit a *character*, that is, a non-zero algebra homomorphism onto the scalar field. Moreover, building on this observation, they showed that, for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$,

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 19K99, 46E15, 47L10; Secondary: 19A49.

Key words and phrases. Banach space; continuous functions on the first uncountable ordinal interval; scattered space; Banach algebra of bounded operators; K_0-group; K_1-group.

the groups $\operatorname{inv}\mathscr{B}(J_p^n)$ and $\operatorname{inv}\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]^n)$ of invertible operators on n copies of J_p and $C[0,\omega_1]$, respectively, have the same homotopy type as the group of invertible, scalar-valued $(n\times n)$-matrices.

(iii) Casazza [5] proved that J_2 is primary (in the sense that, for each bounded projection P on J_2, either the kernel or the image of P is isomorphic to J_2), while Alspach and Benyamini [2] obtained the counterpart of this result for $C[0,\omega_1]$.

(iv) Loy and Willis [12] showed that all derivations from $\mathscr{B}(J_2)$ or $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$ into a Banach algebra are automatically continuous. Willis [16] and Ogden [13] subsequently extended these results to encompass all algebra homomorphisms.

(v) The third author [10] proved that the ideal of weakly compact operators is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathscr{B}(J_p)$, and subsequently [11] characterized its elements as those operators which factor through a certain reflexive, complemented subspace of J_p, a result which played a key role in his calculation [9] of $K_0(\mathscr{B}(J_p))$. More recently, he and the third author [8] showed that $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$ has a unique maximal ideal, and together with the second author [7], they characterized its elements as those operators which factor through a certain complemented subspace of $C[0,\omega_1]$.

Note. Some of the above-mentioned results were originally stated for the one-codimensional subspace $C_0[0,\omega_1) = \{f\in C[0,\omega_1] : f(\omega_1)=0\}$ of $C[0,\omega_1]$, not $C[0,\omega_1]$ itself. This, however, makes no difference since these two Banach spaces are isomorphic.

2. THE K_0-GROUP OF $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$

We shall begin by defining the K_0-group of a unital ring $\mathscr{A}$; further details can be found in standard texts such as [4] and [14]. For $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $M_{m,n}(\mathscr{A})$ be the set of $(m\times n)$-matrices over $\mathscr{A}$. We write $M_n(\mathscr{A})$ instead of $M_{n,n}(\mathscr{A})$, which is a unital ring, and denote its multiplicative identity by I_n. Define

$$\mathrm{IP}_n(\mathscr{A}) = \{P\in M_n(\mathscr{A}) : P^2 = P\},\quad \text{the set of idempotent } (n\times n)\text{-matrices over } \mathscr{A}.$$

We say that $P\in\mathrm{IP}_m(\mathscr{A})$ and $Q\in\mathrm{IP}_n(\mathscr{A})$ are *algebraically equivalent*, written $P\sim_0 Q$, if $P=ST$ and $Q=TS$ for some $S\in M_{m,n}(\mathscr{A})$ and $T\in M_{n,m}(\mathscr{A})$. This defines an equivalence relation $\sim_0$ on the set $\mathrm{IP}_\infty(\mathscr{A}) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathrm{IP}_n(\mathscr{A})$, and the quotient $V(\mathscr{A}) = \mathrm{IP}_\infty(\mathscr{A})/{\sim_0}$ is an abelian semigroup with respect to the operation

$$\big([P]_V,[Q]_V\big)\mapsto \left[\begin{pmatrix} P & 0\\ 0 & Q\end{pmatrix}\right]_V,\quad V(\mathscr{A})\times V(\mathscr{A})\to V(\mathscr{A}),$$

where $[P]_V$ denotes the equivalence class in $V(\mathscr{A})$ of $P\in\mathrm{IP}_\infty(\mathscr{A})$. The K_0-group of $\mathscr{A}$, denoted by $K_0(\mathscr{A})$, is now defined as the Grothendieck group of $V(\mathscr{A})$. The definition of the Grothendieck group implies that we have the following *standard picture* of $K_0(\mathscr{A})$:

$$K_0(\mathscr{A}) = \big\{[P]_0 - [Q]_0 : P,Q\in\mathrm{IP}_\infty(\mathscr{A})\big\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $[P]_0$ is the canonical image of $[P]_V$ in $K_0(\mathscr{A})$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose that $P, Q \in \mathrm{IP}_n(\mathscr{A})$ are *orthogonal*, in the sense that $PQ = 0 = QP$. Then $P + Q$ is idempotent, and

$$[P]_0 + [Q]_0 = [P+Q]_0. \tag{2.2}$$

We shall require one further fundamental fact about K_0. Given a ring homomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ (where $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ are unital rings, but φ need not be unital), we extend it to a ring homomorphism $\varphi_n\colon M_n(\mathscr{A}) \to M_n(\mathscr{B})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by entry-wise application: $\varphi_n\big((T_{j,k})_{j,k=1}^n\big) = \big(\varphi(T_{j,k})\big)_{j,k=1}^n$. This induces a group homomorphism $K_0(\varphi)\colon K_0(\mathscr{A}) \to K_0(\mathscr{B})$ which satisfies

$$K_0(\varphi)\big([P]_0\big) = [\varphi_n(P)]_0 \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N},\ P \in \mathrm{IP}_n(\mathscr{A})).$$

For a Banach space E, we denote by $\mathscr{B}(E)$ the unital Banach algebra of bounded operators on E, and identify $M_{m,n}(\mathscr{B}(E))$ with the Banach space $\mathscr{B}(E^n, E^m)$ of bounded operators from E^n into E^m, where E^n denotes the direct sum of n copies of E equipped with the norm $\big\|(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\big\| = \max\big\{\|x_1\|, \ldots, \|x_n\|\big\}$. The following well-known lemma clarifies the meaning of the relation $\sim_0$ in this case.

Lemma 2.1 ([9, Proposition 3.1]). *Let E be a Banach space, and let $P, Q \in \mathrm{IP}_\infty(\mathscr{B}(E))$. Then $P \sim_0 Q$ if and only if the images of P and Q are isomorphic.*

We shall also require the following related result.

Lemma 2.2 ([10, Lemma 3.9(ii)]). *Let E and F be Banach spaces, and let $S\colon E \to F$ and $T\colon F \to E$ be bounded operators such that ST is idempotent. Then $TSTS$ is idempotent, and the images of ST and $TSTS$ are isomorphic.*

As stated in the Introduction, Edelstein and Mityagin [6, p. 230] identified a character φ on $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$. Taking a different approach based on the matrix representation of operators, Loy and Willis [12, p. 336] found a maximal ideal $\mathscr{M}$ of codimension one in $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$, and this immediately gives rise to a character on $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$ via the quotient homomorphism from $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$ onto $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])/\mathscr{M} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

More recently, the first and third author [8, Theorem 1.1] showed that Loy and Willis's ideal $\mathscr{M}$ is the *unique* maximal ideal of $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$, so that in particular it is equal to the kernel of Edelstein and Mityagin's character φ. The present authors [7, Theorem 1.4] have given eight equivalent conditions describing this maximal ideal, including the following, which is the one that we shall use here:

$$\mathscr{M} = \ker\varphi = \big\{TS : S \in \mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1], C_0(L_0)),\ T \in \mathscr{B}(C_0(L_0), C[0,\omega_1])\big\}, \tag{2.3}$$

where L_0 is the locally compact Hausdorff space given by the disjoint union of the ordinal intervals $[0,\alpha]$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$, and $C_0(L_0)$ denotes the Banach space of complex-valued, continuous functions which are defined on L_0 and vanish eventually. Since the Banach space $C_0(L_0)$ is isomorphic to its Cartesian square (this is an easy consequence of Lemma 2.4(i) below), the set of bounded operators which factor through it is an operator ideal in the sense of Pietsch, and hence we have the following matrix version of (2.3):

$$M_n(\mathscr{M}) = \ker\varphi_n = \big\{TS : S \in \mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]^n, C_0(L_0)),\ T \in \mathscr{B}(C_0(L_0), C[0,\omega_1]^n)\big\}. \tag{2.4}$$

We can now state our main result precisely.

Theorem 2.3. *The group homomorphism* $K_0(\varphi)\colon K_0(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])) \to K_0(\mathbb{C})$ *induced by the unique character* φ *on* $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$ *is an isomorphism.*

Once proved, this theorem will verify the statements we made about $K_0(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))$ at the beginning of the Introduction. Indeed, as is well known (see for instance [14, Example 3.3.2]), the standard (unnormalized) trace $\operatorname{Tr}_n\colon (T_{j,k})_{j,k=1}^n \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n T_{j,j}$, $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$, induces an isomorphism $K_0(\operatorname{Tr})\colon K_0(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfies

$$K_0(\operatorname{Tr})\bigl([P]_0\bigr) = \operatorname{Tr}_n(P) \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N},\ P \in \operatorname{IP}_n(\mathbb{C})).$$

Hence $K_0(\operatorname{Tr}) \circ K_0(\varphi)$ is an isomorphism of $K_0(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))$ onto $\mathbb{Z}$, and $K_0(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))$ is generated by the class $[I]_0$ of the identity operator I on $C[0,\omega_1]$ because

$$K_0(\operatorname{Tr}) \circ K_0(\varphi)([I]_0) = 1. \tag{2.5}$$

Our proof of Theorem 2.3 relies on a counterpart of [9, Lemma 4.5], stated in Lemma 2.5 below. To establish it, we require the following elementary facts about $C_0(L_0)$.

Lemma 2.4.
- (i) *The Banach space* $C_0(L_0)$ *is isomorphic to the* c_0*-direct sum of countably many copies of itself:* $C_0(L_0) \cong c_0(\mathbb{N}, C_0(L_0))$.
- (ii) *The Banach spaces* $C_0(L_0) \oplus C[0,\omega_1]$ *and* $C[0,\omega_1]$ *are isomorphic.*
- (iii) *Let* Q *be an idempotent operator on* $C_0(L_0)$. *Then the Banach spaces* $C_0(L_0)$ *and* $\operatorname{im} Q \oplus C_0(L_0)$ *are isomorphic, where* $\operatorname{im} Q$ *denotes the image of* Q.

Proof. Clauses (i) and (ii) follow from [7, Corollary 2.7, Lemma 2.12(iv) and Corollary 2.14].

(iii). Clearly $\operatorname{im} Q \oplus C_0(L_0)$ contains a complemented copy of $C_0(L_0)$. On the other hand, (i) implies firstly that $C_0(L_0)$ contains a complemented copy of $\operatorname{im} Q \oplus C_0(L_0)$, and secondly that the Pełczyński decomposition method (as stated in [1, Theorem 2.23(b)], for instance) applies, from which the conclusion follows. ☐

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $P \in \operatorname{IP}_n(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))$ *for some* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *and set* $k = \operatorname{Tr}_n \circ \varphi_n(P)$. *Then*

$$[P]_0 = k \cdot [I]_0 \qquad \text{in} \qquad K_0(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])).$$

Proof. We shall first establish the result for $k = 0$. In this case we have $\varphi_n(P) = 0$, so that $P = TS$ for some bounded operators $S\colon C[0,\omega_1]^n \to C_0(L_0)$ and $T\colon C_0(L_0) \to C[0,\omega_1]^n$ by (2.4). Lemma 2.2 then implies that $Q = SPT \in \mathscr{B}(C_0(L_0))$ is idempotent with $\operatorname{im} Q \cong \operatorname{im} P$. Combining this with Lemma 2.4(iii), we obtain $\operatorname{im} P \oplus C_0(L_0) \cong C_0(L_0)$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{im}\begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{im} P \oplus C[0,\omega_1] &\cong \operatorname{im} P \oplus C(L_0) \oplus C[0,\omega_1] \\ &\cong C_0(L_0) \oplus C[0,\omega_1] \cong C[0,\omega_1] = \operatorname{im} I\end{aligned}$$

by two applications of Lemma 2.4(ii). This shows that $[P]_0 + [I]_0 = [I]_0$ in $K_0(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))$ by Lemma 2.1, and hence $[P]_0 = 0$, as required.

We shall next consider the case where $k = n$. Since the identity matrix is the only idempotent complex $(n \times n)$-matrix with trace n, we have $P = I_n + R$ for some $R \in \ker \varphi_n$.

Then $-R$ is idempotent, so that the result established in the first paragraph of the proof implies that $[-R]_0 = 0$. Hence, by (2.2), we conclude that

$$[P]_0 = [P]_0 + [-R]_0 = [I_n]_0 = n \cdot [I]_0 \qquad \text{in} \qquad K_0(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])).$$

Finally, suppose that $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. By Lemma 2.4(ii), we can take an isomorphism $U\colon C[0,\omega_1]^k \to C[0,\omega_1]^k \oplus C_0(L_0)$. Since $\varphi_n(P)$ is a complex idempotent matrix, it is diagonalizable, so that there exists $R \in \ker \varphi_n$ such that $\Delta_k + R$ is idempotent and $P \sim_0 \Delta_k + R$, where

$$\Delta_k = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{IP}_n(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])).$$

By (2.4), there are bounded operators $S\colon C[0,\omega_1]^n \to C_0(L_0)$ and $T\colon C_0(L_0) \to C[0,\omega_1]^n$ such that $R = TS$. Moreover, since $\Delta_k = WV$, where $V\colon C[0,\omega_1]^n \to C[0,\omega_1]^k$ is the projection onto the first k coordinates and $W\colon C[0,\omega_1]^k \to C[0,\omega_1]^n$ is the embedding into the first k coordinates, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C[0,\omega_1]^n \arrow[rr, "\Delta_k + R"] \arrow[d, "\begin{pmatrix} V \\ S \end{pmatrix}"'] & & C[0,\omega_1]^n \\
C[0,\omega_1]^k \oplus C_0(L_0) \arrow[r, "U^{-1}"] & C[0,\omega_1]^k \arrow[r, "U"] & C[0,\omega_1]^k \oplus C_0(L_0), \arrow[u, "\begin{pmatrix} W & T \end{pmatrix}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the operators $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} V \\ S \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $(W\ \ T)$ are given by $f \mapsto (Vf, Sf)$ and $(f,g) \mapsto Wf + Tg$, respectively. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, the operator

$$Q = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} V \\ S \end{pmatrix} (\Delta_k + R) \begin{pmatrix} W & T \end{pmatrix} U \in \mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]^k)$$

is idempotent and $\operatorname{im} Q \cong \operatorname{im}(\Delta_k + R)$, so that $Q \sim_0 P$ by Lemma 2.1. The trace property implies that $\mathrm{Tr}_k \circ \varphi_k(Q) = \mathrm{Tr}_n \circ \varphi_n(\Delta_k + R) = k$, and therefore, as shown in the second paragraph of the proof, we have $k \cdot [I]_0 = [Q]_0 = [P]_0$, as required. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3. The identity (2.5) shows immediately that $K_0(\varphi)$ is surjective.

To see that it is injective, suppose that $g \in \ker K_0(\varphi)$. By (2.1), we have $g = [P]_0 - [Q]_0$ for some $P \in \mathrm{IP}_m(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))$ and $Q \in \mathrm{IP}_n(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$0 = K_0(\mathrm{Tr}) \circ K_0(\varphi)(g) = \mathrm{Tr}_m \circ \varphi_m(P) - \mathrm{Tr}_n \circ \varphi_n(Q),$$

which implies that $[P]_0 = [Q]_0$ by Lemma 2.5, so that $g = 0$. □

3. THE K_1-GROUP OF $\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])$

In contrast to the purely algebraic nature of the K_0-group, topology plays a key role in the definition of the K_1-group of a unital Banach algebra $\mathscr{A}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we turn $M_n(\mathscr{A})$ into a Banach algebra by identifying it with its natural image in the Banach algebra $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{A}^n)$ of bounded operators acting on the direct sum of n copies of $\mathscr{A}$, where we equip $\mathscr{A}^n$ with the norm $\|(T_1, \ldots, T_n)\| = \max\{\|T_1\|, \ldots, \|T_n\|\}$, as above.

Note. For a Banach space E, we have now equipped $M_n(\mathscr{B}(E))$ with two potentially different norms, one coming from its identification with $\mathscr{B}(E^n)$, the other arising from its embedding into $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{B}(E)^n)$. Fortunately, these two norms are equal, as is easily checked.

Let $\operatorname{inv}_n(\mathscr{A})$ be the group of invertible elements of $M_n(\mathscr{A})$. We say that $U \in \operatorname{inv}_m(\mathscr{A})$ and $V \in \operatorname{inv}_n(\mathscr{A})$ (where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$) are K_1-*equivalent*, written $U \sim_1 V$, if, for some integer $k \geqslant \max\{m, n\}$, there is a continuous path $t \mapsto W_t$, $[0,1] \to \operatorname{inv}_k(\mathscr{A})$, such that

$$W_0 = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & I_{k-m} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad W_1 = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & I_{k-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This defines an equivalence relation $\sim_1$ on the set $\operatorname{inv}_\infty(\mathscr{A}) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \operatorname{inv}_n(\mathscr{A})$, and the quotient $K_1(\mathscr{A}) = \operatorname{inv}_\infty(\mathscr{A})/{\sim_1}$ is an abelian group with respect to the operation

$$\big([U]_1, [V]_1\big) \mapsto \left[\begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix}\right]_1, \quad K_1(\mathscr{A}) \times K_1(\mathscr{A}) \to K_1(\mathscr{A}),$$

where $[U]_1$ denotes the equivalence class in $K_1(\mathscr{A})$ of $U \in \operatorname{inv}_\infty(\mathscr{A})$.

As stated in the Introduction, Edelstein and Mityagin [6, Proposition 4] have shown that $\operatorname{inv}_n(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1]))$ has the same homotopy type as $\operatorname{inv}_n(\mathbb{C})$, which is connected (here it is essential that we work with complex, not real, scalars). By the definition of the K_1-group, this implies immediately that $K_1(\mathscr{B}(C[0,\omega_1])) = \{0\}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Part of this work was carried out during a visit of the second author to Lancaster in February 2012, supported by a London Mathematical Society Scheme 2 grant (ref. 21101). The authors gratefully acknowledge this support. The second author was also partially supported by the Polish National Science Center research grant 2011/01/B/ST1/00657.

REFERENCES

1. F. Albiac and N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory,* Graduate Texts in Mathematics 233, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006.
2. D. Alspach and Y. Benyamini, Primariness of spaces of continuous functions on ordinals, *Israel J. Math.* **27** (1977), 64–92.
3. C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, Banach spaces non-isomorphic to their Cartesian squares I, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys.* **8** (1960), 77–80.
4. B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras,* M.S.R.I. Publications 5, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
5. P. G. Casazza, James' quasi-reflexive space is primary, *Israel J. Math.* **26** (1977), 294–305.
6. I. S. Edelstein and B. S. Mityagin, Homotopy type of linear groups of two classes of Banach spaces, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **4** (1970), 221–231.
7. T. Kania, P. Koszmider and N. J. Laustsen, A weak*-topological dichotomy with applications in operator theory, preprint, arXiv:1303.0020.
8. T. Kania and N. J. Laustsen, Uniqueness of the maximal ideal of the Banach algebra of bounded operators on $C([0,\omega_1])$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262** (2012), 4831–4850.
9. N. J. Laustsen, K-theory for the Banach algebra of operators on James's quasi-reflexive Banach spaces, *K-Theory* **23** (2000), 115–127.

10. N. J. Laustsen, Maximal ideals in the algebra of operators on certain Banach spaces, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **45** (2002), 523–546.
11. N. J. Laustsen, Commutators of operators on Banach spaces, *J. Operator Theory* **48**, 2002.
12. R. J. Loy and G. A. Willis, Continuity of derivations on $\mathscr{B}(E)$ for certain Banach spaces E, *J. London Math. Soc.* **40** (1989), 327–346.
13. C. Ogden, Homomorphisms from $B(C(\omega_\eta))$, *J. London Math. Soc.* **54** (1996), 346–358.
14. M. Rørdam, F. Larsen and N. J. Laustsen, *An introduction to K-theory for C^*-algebras,* LMS Student Texts 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
15. Z. Semadeni, Banach spaces non-isomorphic to their Cartesian squares II, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys.* **8** (1960), 81–84.
16. G. Willis, Compressible operators and the continuity of homomorphisms from algebras of operators, *Studia Math.* **115** (1995), 251–259.

E-mail address: `t.kania@lancaster.ac.uk`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Fylde College, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YF, United Kingdom.

E-mail address: `P.Koszmider@Impan.pl`

Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland.

E-mail address: `n.laustsen@lancaster.ac.uk`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Fylde College Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YF, United Kingdom.